

**JS-6**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.: CV 23-01012-AB (PVCx)

Date: March 18, 2024

Title: *Lihui Yu v. Alejandro Mayorkas et al*Present: The Honorable **ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR., United States District Judge**

Carla Badirian

N/A

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):

Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):

None Appearing

None Appearing

**Proceedings: [In Chambers] ORDER DISMISSING CASE FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION**

On February 29, 2024, the Court ordered Plaintiff to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. *See* OSC (Dkt. No. 12). Plaintiff was required to respond to the OSC by March 14, 2024. *Id.* The Court advised Plaintiff that the failure to respond will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action. *Id.*

On March 14, 2024, Defendants filed a Status Report and Declaration, indicating, among other things, that Plaintiff's I-589 Application for Asylum and for Withholding of Removal has been adjudicated, and thus this action is moot.

To date, Plaintiff has not filed a response to the OSC.

The Court therefore **DISMISSES** this action for lack of prosecution.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**